arXiv:1511.07155v2 [nucl-th] 15 Feb 2016

Effect of correlations on cumulants in heavy-ion collisions

D. K. Mishra,[1,*] P. Garg,[2,†] and P. K. Netrakanti[1]

[1] *Nuclear Physics Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Center, Mumbai 400085, India*
[2] *Discipline of Physics, School of Basic Science, Indian Institute of Technology, Indore 452020, India*

We study the effects of correlations on cumulants and their ratios of net-proton multiplicity distributions which have been measured for central (0%–5%) Au + Au collisions at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC). This effect has been studied assuming individual proton and anti-proton distributions as a Poisson or Negative Binomial Distribution (NBD). In-spite of significantly correlated production due to baryon number, electric charge conservation and kinematical correlations of protons and anti-protons, the measured cumulants of the net-proton distribution follow the independent production model. In the present work we demonstrate how the introduction of the correlations will affect the cumulants and their ratios for the difference distributions. We have also demonstrated this study using the proton and anti-proton distributions obtained from the HIJING event generator.

PACS numbers: 25.75.Gz,12.38.Mh,21.65.Qr,25.75.-q,25.75.Nq

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the Beam Energy Scan (BES) program at Brookhaven National Laboratory's Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collider (RHIC) has drawn much attention to map the quantum chromodynamics (QCD) phase diagram in terms of temperature (T) and baryon chemical potential (μ_B) [1]. Lattice QCD calculations combined with other theoretical models suggest that there should be a critical point where the phase transition line of first order originating from high μ_B ends [2–4]. Experimentally the location of the critical point can be measured by scanning the $T-\mu_B$ phase diagram. One can scan the $T-\mu_B$ plane by varying the center of mass energies of the colliding ions.

The moments of the multiplicity distribution of conserved quantities are related to the correlation length (ξ) of the system and hence can be used to look for signals of a phase transition and critical point [5, 6]. The variance (σ^2) of these distributions is related to ξ as $\sigma^2 \sim \xi^2$ [4], the skewness (S) goes as $\xi^{4.5}$ and the kurtosis (κ) is related as ξ^7 [7–9]. Also, these quantities have been used to extract the freeze-out parameters of the system. For example, higher moments of net-charge distributions are used to extract μ_B and are found to be in good agreement with methods using particle ratios [10–12]. Both the current experiments at RHIC (STAR and PHENIX), have reported their measurements of higher cumulants for net-charge [12, 13] and net-proton [14] multiplicity distributions at different collision energies. The net-proton results from the STAR measurements are reasonably described by assuming independent production of protons and anti-protons, indicating that there are no apparent correlations between the protons and anti-protons for the observable presented [14]. In the independent production (IP) model, the measured cumulants of protons and anti-protons are used to construct the cumulants of the net-proton distribution. If the individual proton and anti-proton distributions are assumed to be Poisson distributions, the resultant net-proton distribution will be a Skellam distribution [15]. Poisson distributions fall into the class of "integer valued *Levy* processes" for which the cumulants of the distribution $P(n^+ - n^-)$ of the difference of samples from positive (n^+) and negative (n^-) distributions $P(n^+)$ and $P(n^-)$, with cumulants C_n^+ and C_n^-, respectively, are

$$C_n = C_n^+ + (-1)^n C_n^- \tag{1}$$

so long as the distributions are not correlated [16, 17]. This result is the same as if the distributions $P(n^+)$ and $P(n^-)$ are statistically independent. A similar exercise has been carried out in Refs. [18, 19] using heavy-ion event generators such as HIJING and UrQMD assuming both the individual distributions to be a Poisson or a negative binomial distribution (NBD). Further, the moments of the distributions are related to the cumulants as: mean $(M) = C_1$; $\sigma^2 = C_2 = \langle(\delta N)^2\rangle$; $S = C_3/C_2^{3/2} = \langle(\delta N)^3\rangle/\sigma^3$ and $\kappa = C_4/C_2^2 = \langle(\delta N)^4\rangle/\sigma^4 - 3$, where N is the multiplicity and $\delta N = N - M$. Hence, the ratios of the cumulants are related to the moments as: $M/\sigma^2 = C_1/C_2$, $S\sigma = C_3/C_2$, $\kappa\sigma^2 = C_4/C_2$ and $S\sigma^3/M = C_3/C_1$.

Recently, measured cumulants of net-charge distributions by the PHENIX experiment show that individual positively and negatively charged hadron multiplicity distributions can be described by NBD for energies from $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 7.7$ to 200 GeV in Au + Au collisions [12]. Since NBD also lies in the class of "integer valued *Levy* processes", it also follows Eq. 1. Hence, cumulants calculated from the event-by-event (e-by-e) net-charge distributions agree with the cumulants obtained from individual positive and negative multiplicity distributions using Eq. 1.

In Refs. [20, 21], the individual cumulants are shown for different particle production mechanisms and it is

*Electronic address: dkmishra@rcf.rhic.bnl.gov
†Electronic address: prakhar@rcf.rhic.bnl.gov

observed that within the STAR kinematical acceptance, models satisfy the independent production model with the e-by-e measured distributions. An ideal hadron resonance gas model [22, 23] in the grand canonical ensemble by construction treats the susceptibility of net-protons in a similar way as they are treated in the IP model as: $\chi^{(n)}_{p-\bar{p}} = \chi^{(n)}_{p} + (-1)^n \chi^{(n)}_{\bar{p}}$ where, $\chi^{n}_{p-\bar{p}}$ is the n^{th} order susceptibility for net-protons, $\chi^{(n)}_{p}$ and $\chi^{(n)}_{\bar{p}}$ are the n^{th} susceptibilities for protons and anti-protons, respectively. The STAR collaboration has reported that the product of moments of net-proton distributions are found to have values close to expectations based on independent proton and anti-proton production [14]. However, it has been puzzling since then that in-spite of significantly correlated production due to baryon number, electric charge conservation and kinematical correlations of proton and anti-protons, why the measured cumulants follow the independent production model. In the present work, we demonstrate the results of such cases by considering the Poisson and NBD distributions for the particle production. We compare the results of cumulants and their ratios by making an e-by-e distribution to those which are derived from Eq. 1 after introducing the correlations.

The paper is organized as follows. In the following section, we discuss the method used to include the correlation in this study. In Section III, the results for the observable C_1/C_2, C_3/C_2, C_4/C_2 and C_3/C_1 as a function of the correlation coefficients are presented for Poisson and NBD distributions along with their cumulants. The correlation effect is also discussed using the HIJING event generator. Finally in Section IV, we summarize our findings and discuss the implications of this work to the current experimental measurements in high energy heavy-ion collisions.

II. METHOD

Suppose we have two independently produced distributions Y_1 and Y_2, from which one can construct the distribution of the difference $(Y_1 - Y_2)$ on an e-by-e basis. One can introduce the correlation between individual distributions by taking a third independently produced distribution Y_{12}, such that $(Y_1 + Y_{12})$ and $(Y_2 + Y_{12})$ are new distributions which are correlated as they share a common distribution Y_{12}. The difference of these two distributions $(Y_1 + Y_{12})$ and $(Y_2 + Y_{12})$ will be same as $(Y_1 - Y_2)$. Therefore, in spite of a correlation, it is possible that the difference distribution remains the same as if there is no correlation. Let us define two independently produced bivariate Poisson distributions X_1 and X_2 as the joint distribution of the random variables as is given in [16]

$$X_1 = Y_1 + Y_{12} \text{ and } X_2 = Y_2 + Y_{12} \tag{2}$$

where Y_1, Y_2 and Y_{12} are mutually independent Poisson random variables with the means λ_1, λ_2 and λ_{12} respectively. It can be easily shown that X_1 and X_2 have Poisson distributions with means $\lambda_1+\lambda_{12}$ and $\lambda_2+\lambda_{12}$ respectively. In case of Poisson distributions the correlation coefficient between the bivariate distributions, $\rho(X_1, X_2)$ is defined by :

$$\rho(X_1, X_2) = \frac{Var(Y_{12})}{\sqrt{Var(X_1)Var(X_2)}} \tag{3}$$

Since the variance and the mean are the same for a Poisson distribution therefore,

$$\rho(X_1, X_2) = \frac{\lambda_{12}}{\sqrt{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12})(\lambda_2 + \lambda_{12})}} \tag{4}$$

This definition of a bivariate Poisson distribution automatically provides a method to generate correlated Poisson random variates such that X_1 and X_2 have Poisson distributions with the specified mean values and the correlation coefficient $(\rho) > 0$. The correlation coefficient is used as a measures of the degree of linear dependence between the two random variables X_1 and X_2. In the present work, we generate three independent Poisson distributions Y_1, Y_2, and Y_{12} on an e-by-e basis using a Monte-Carlo technique, with carefully chosen means λ_1, λ_2 and λ_{12}, and obtain (X_1, X_2) through the two operations shown in Eq. 2. The mean values of the generated



FIG. 1: Correlated N_p and $N_{\bar{p}}$ distributions for a correlation coefficient (ρ) value of 0% in panel (a) and 80% in panel (b).

Poisson distributions Y_1 and Y_2 correspond to the mean of proton and anti-proton distributions for (0%–5%) centrality in Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 19.6 and 200 GeV measured by the STAR experiment [14, 24]. Afterwards, we chose a third distribution with a certain mean value (λ_{12}) so that we can control the correlation coefficient using Eq. 4. The two energies have been chosen in order to consider the highest RHIC energy along with a lower collision energy. We introduce the correlations by adding a third Poisson distribution to the individual proton and anti-proton distributions. Since the addition of two Poisson distributions is also a Poisson distribution, hence, both the distributions will remain Poisson after introducing the correlation. Figure 1 shows the typical correlation between the N_p and $N_{\bar{p}}$ distributions for a correlation coefficient value of 0% and 80%. If both the distributions (N_p and $N_{\bar{p}}$) will have the same mean and

are uncorrelated ($\rho = 0$), then the correlated distribution will have uniform circular distribution. The mean multiplicities used for N_p are 5.664 ± 0.0006 and 11.375 ± 0.003 for $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 and 19.6 GeV, and the mean multiplicities for $N_{\bar{p}}$ distributions are 4.116 ± 0.0005 and 1.15 ± 0.001, respectively as given in Refs. [14, 24]. We have repeated the same exercise assuming the individual N_p and $N_{\bar{p}}$ distributions are given by NBDs. The negative binomial distribution function of an integer n can be defined as:

$$P(n) = \frac{\Gamma(n+k)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(k)} \frac{(\langle n\rangle/k)^n}{(1+\langle n\rangle/k)^{n+k}} \qquad (5)$$

where $\langle n\rangle$ is the mean number of particles and k is an additional parameter. In the limiting case of $k \to \infty$, the NBD reduces to a Poisson distribution. The sum of two NBDs is also a negative binomial distribution. Figure 2 shows the mean values of the correlated (third) distribution which has been added to the individual N_p and $N_{\bar{p}}$ distributions as a function of the correlation coefficient for two different energies $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 19.6 and 200 GeV. As we increase the mean value of the mixing distribution the correlation coefficient of the distributions also increase. However, there is very little energy dependence in λ_{12} as a function of the correlation coefficient.



FIG. 2: The mean (λ_{12}) of the mixing distribution as a function of the correlation coefficient (ρ) for $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 19.6 and 200 GeV.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Experimentally, the measured higher moments of conserved quantities such as net-proton, net-charge and net-kaon are compared with baseline values, which are calculated by assuming the particle distributions as Poisson or negative binomial distributions. The Poisson statistics is a limiting case of NBD, where both the mean and variance of the distribution are the same. In the case of NBD the variance is larger than the mean of the distribution. In the following we will demonstrate the correlation effect on the higher moments and their ratios of net-multiplicities assuming individual positive and negative distributions as Poisson or NBD.

A. Poisson distribution

A statistically random expectation of the observable is described by Poisson distribution. The individual proton and anti-proton distributions are independently generated by using the measured mean values as given in Refs. [14, 24]. Both the distributions are independent if the correlation coefficient is zero. We generate a third Poisson distribution by taking the different mean values which correspond to different ρ as shown in Fig. 2. Event-by-event we add the third distribution (N_{mix}) to the independently generated N_p and $N_{\bar{p}}$ distributions. One can construct a corresponding net-distribution (N_{diff}) by taking the difference of the correlated (N_p+N_{mix}) and ($N_{\bar{p}}$+N_{mix}) distributions. The N_{diff} distribution will be a Skellam distribution. In the present study, the cumulants of the net-distribution are calculated in two different ways. In first case cumulants of the net-distribution are calculated from the Skellam $N_{diff}(= N_p - N_{\bar{p}})$ distribution which is built by taking the correlated N_p and $N_{\bar{p}}$ distributions on an e-by-e basis. In the second case the cumulants are calculated assuming independent production of particles as given in Eq. 1. Figure 3 shows the comparison of the cumulants calculated from the e-by-e N_{diff} distributions and by assuming independent production as a function of the correlation coefficient for $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 19.6 and 200 GeV. As the measured mean (C_1) of proton and anti-protons are used to construct the Poisson distribution of N_p and $N_{\bar{p}}$, the C_1 of the difference distribution calculated from the IP model and from e-by-e measured N_{diff} distribution agrees. The C_2 and C_4 values obtained from the e-by-e N_{diff} distributions are independent of ρ as N_{diff} is generated by taking the difference of ($N_p + N_{mix}$) and ($N_{\bar{p}} + N_{mix}$). However, there is strong dependence of C_2 and C_4 values as a function of ρ when obtained using the IP model. As one increase the correlation coefficient, the C_2 and C_4 values deviate from the uncorrelated values. It is observed that, if two distributions are correlated more than $\sim$ 20%, the C_2 and C_4 do not follow the "integer valued *Levy* processes". The C_3 values are independent of the correlation coefficient similar to the C_1 of the net-proton distribution. Similar behavior is observed for both $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 19.6 and 200 GeV. The statistical uncertainties for the various cumulants and their ratios are calculated using Delta theorem method [25]. As noted in previous section, the uncertainties on the mean values of the protons and anti-protons are very small which gives negligible effect (less than 0.3%) on the higher cumulants and their ratios. More discussion on the statistical uncertainties on higher cumulants also can be found in [18]. Figure. 4 shows the ra-



FIG. 3: Cumulants of net-proton distributions obtained on an e-by-e basis and by assuming independent production of particles are shown as a function of the correlation coefficient (ρ) for $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 19.6 and 200 GeV. The errors on the cumulants are statistical only and smaller than the symbol.



FIG. 4: Ratios of cumulants of net-proton distributions obtained on an e-by-e basis and by assuming the independent production of particles are shown as a function of the correlation coefficient (ρ) for $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 19.6 and 200 GeV. The errors on the cumulants are statistical only and smaller than the symbol.

tios of cumulants as a function the correlation coefficient for $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 19.6 and 200 GeV. The cumulant ratios obtained from the e-by-e measured N_{diff} distributions are independent of ρ as N_{mix} distribution gets canceled-out while constructing the net-distribution. In case of independent production model, the correlation added to the individual N_p and $N_{\bar{p}}$ distributions preserve. The C_1/C_2 and C_3/C_2 ratios show strong dependence of ρ calculated using Eq. 1. However, the C_4/C_2 and C_3/C_1 ratios are independent of ρ in both the cases. Like individual cumulant case, the C_1/C_2 and C_3/C_2 ratios for very small ρ starts deviating from the uncorrelated baseline ratios. Although there is larger correlation between the particles which are produced close to the critical end point (CEP) or phase transition, it will be difficult to observe in C_4/C_2 and C_3/C_1 ratios as these two ratios are independent for any degree of correlation. This indicates that, in heavy-ion collisions, even if the particles have small correlation, it can be seen in C_1/C_2 and C_3/C_2 ratios.

B. Negative Binomial Distributions

The particle multiplicity distribution in elementary ($e^+ + e^-$ or $p + p$) as well as heavy-ion collisions are well described by negative binomial distribution [26–29]. In the present study, the individual N_p and $N_{\bar{p}}$ distributions are assumed to be negative binomial distributions, which are constructed by taking the measured C_1 and C_2 of the proton and anti-proton distributions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 19.6 and 200 GeV as given in Ref. [14, 24]. It is assumed that the individual N_p and $N_{\bar{p}}$ distributions are produced independently. As it is known that sum of two NBDs are also negative binomial distribution. A third NBD distribution (N_{mix}) has been added to the individual N_p and $N_{\bar{p}}$ distributions on an e-by-e basis so that the resulting proton and anti-proton distributions will be correlated. The mean values of the correlated distribution correspond to different correlation coefficient as shown in Fig. 2. Similar to Poisson distribution, the cumulants are calculated in two different ways as discussed before. Figure 5 shows the cumulants of the net-proton distributions calculated in both the methods as a function of the correlation coefficient for $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 19.6 and 200 GeV. Similar to the case of Poisson distribution, the C_1 and C_3 of net-proton distributions are obtained from both the methods are independent of ρ. Deviations from the uncorrelated baseline are observed for C_2 and C_4 of the net-proton distributions calculated in the IP model. As we increase the correlation coefficient the deviation of C_2 and C_4 increase from the baseline values. These two cumulants behave as uncorrelated until the correlation coefficient is less than $\sim$ 20%. Figure 6 shows the ratios of the cumulants as a function of the correlation coefficient for $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 19.6 and 200 GeV. The cumulant ratios calculated from the e-by-e N_{diff} distributions are independent of ρ. Where as the C_1/C_2 and C_3/C_2 ratios



FIG. 5: Cumulants of net-proton distributions obtained on an e-by-e basis and by assuming independent production of particles are shown as a function of the correlation coefficient (ρ) for $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 19.6 and 200 GeV. The errors on the cumulants are statistical only and smaller than the symbol. The errors on the cumulants are statistical only and smaller than the symbol.



FIG. 6: Ratios of cumulants of net-proton distributions obtained on an e-by-e basis and by assuming independent production of particles are shown as a function of the correlation coefficient (ρ) for $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 19.6 and 200 GeV. The errors on the cumulants are statistical only and smaller than the symbol.

of the net-proton distributions calculated from the individual N_p and $N_{\bar{p}}$ distributions deviate from the uncorrelated baseline values. As one increases the correlation coefficient, the C_1/C_2 and C_3/C_2 ratios deviate from the uncorrelated values. The C_4/C_2 and C_3/C_1 ratios are found to be independent of the ρ for both the cases.

The C_4/C_2 and C_3/C_1 ratios from the Poisson distribution and NBD are independent of ρ which implies, although particles are strongly correlated in heavy-ion collisions, still the cumulant ratios of net-proton distribution can be explained by independent particle production model. On the other hand, C_1/C_2 and C_3/C_2 ratios calculated using the IP model are strongly dependent on the correlation coefficient. If the particles produced in heavy-ion collisions close to the CEP are highly correlated, that can be observed in the C_1/C_2 and C_3/C_2 ratios. However, in the present study we have simulated the correlation as an independent Poisson or NBD distribution.This correlation may not be the same as that from the QCD based arguments, about the sensitivities of the higher moments which are based upon the expected critical behavior of the correlation length [8, 9]. From the above study, we show that C_1/C_2 and C_3/C_2 ratios are more sensitive to the correlation coefficient.

C. Understanding the correlation effect with the HIJING model

It is observed in Ref. [14] that the experimental data on net-proton cumulant ratios have been well explained by the independent production model. It can be argued that at lower collision energies the cumulants of net-proton distributions are mostly dominated by the cumulants of the corresponding proton distribution as the number of anti-proton production is very small. The $\bar{p}/p$ ratios are $\sim$ 0.01 and $\sim$ 0.06 at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 9.2 and 17.3 GeV respectively [30, 31]. However this argument will not hold for higher $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ as it is known from the measured $\bar{p}/p$ ratio $\sim$ 0.77 at mid-rapidity in Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV [32] that there is significant correlation between proton and anti-proton production. Here we have discussed this aspect using the Heavy Ion Jet interaction Generator (HIJING) model [33]. It is a perturbative QCD model, which produces minijet partons that later are transformed into string fragments that then fragment into hadrons. Figure 7 shows the cumulants of net-proton distributions calculated using both e-by-e measured N_{diff} and individual cumulants of protons and anti-protons using Eq. 1 for the most central (0%–5%) Au + Au collisions at different $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$. The results shown for net-proton distributions are for the same acceptance as the experimental data [14]. The cumulants from both the methods are in agreement, although there



FIG. 7: Cumulants of net-proton multiplicity distributions obtained on an e-by-e basis and by assuming independent production of particles as a function of $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ for (0%–5%) centralities in Au + Au collisions from the HIJING. The errors on the cumulants are statistical only and smaller than the symbol.



FIG. 8: Ratios of cumulants of net-proton multiplicity distributions obtained on an e-by-e basis and by assuming independent production of particles as a function of $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ for (0%–5%) centralities in Au + Au collisions from the HIJING.

is small difference for C_2 and C_4 values as observed in Poisson and NBD cases. At higher collision energies, the C_2 and C_4 values calculated using the IP model are slightly higher than the cumulants obtained from the e-by-e N_{diff} distribution. This indicates, the presence of more correlations between the protons and anti-protons at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. Figure 8 shows the cumulant ratios



FIG. 9: Energy dependence of the correlation coefficient (ρ) calculated from N_p and $N_{\bar{p}}$ distributions for (0%–5%) centrality in Au + Au collisions from the HIJING.

as a function of $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ obtained from the above cumulants in Au + Au collisions for (0%–5%) centrality. The cumulant ratios calculated in both the methods agree very well. As we have seen in the cumulant ratios for Poisson and NBD cases, if the correlation exists between the proton and anti-proton then it should show in C_1/C_2 and C_3/C_2 ratios. We have observed in Fig. 4 and Fig. 6 that the cumulant ratios calculated in both the methods agree even if the correlation coefficient $\sim 15\%$. This implies, the correlation between protons and anti-protons in the HIJING events are within that order. Figure 9 shows the energy dependence of the degree of correlation that exists in proton and anti-proton production calculated from the HIJING model. As discussed previously in this section, even if the correlation coefficient is $\sim 20\%$, the cumulants calculated in both the methods will agree, which has been observed in the case of the HIJING simulation. However, in all the studied cases (Poisson, NBD and HIJING), the C_4/C_2 and C_3/C_1 ratios calculated using both the methods agree. Hence, it is not surprising that the experimentally measured C_3/C_2 and C_4/C_2 ratios in Ref. [14] agree with the values calculated using the IP model. It may so happen that, after applying different kinematical cuts on the measurements within the experimental acceptance, the correlation coefficient values are reduced to less than $\sim 20\%$. Experimental data that can be explained by the IP model does not rule-out the existence of CEP. We have demonstrated that even if

particles are highly correlated the C_4/C_2 and C_3/C_1 ratios can be explained by the IP model. It is important to know how much correlation the protons and anti-protons should have so that one can claim to find the CEP. On the other hand, if experimentally measured particles have a correlation coefficient less than $\sim 20\%$, the independent production model can explain the experimental cumulant ratios such as C_1/C_2 and C_3/C_2.

IV. SUMMARY

In conclusion we have studied the effect of the correlations on the cumulants and their ratios assuming the experimentally measured proton and anti-proton distributions are described as a Poisson or NBD. The correlation is introduced in both the N_p and $N_{\bar{p}}$ distributions. The cumulants and their ratios of net-proton distributions are calculated using e-by-e measured N_{diff} distribution and from the independent production of N_p and $N_{\bar{p}}$ distributions. We have demonstrated using Poisson and NBD distributions that, "integer valued *Levy* processes" i.e $C_n = C_n^+ + (-1)^n C_n^-$ for the net distribution is valid only if the correlation coefficient is less than $\sim$ 30%–35%. The C_4/C_2 and C_3/C_1 ratios are independent of the correlation coefficient, where as C_1/C_2 and C_3/C_2 ratios are more sensitive to the correlation coefficient. The C_4/C_2 and C_3/C_1 ratios can be explained by the IP model, which was also observed in Ref. [14]. The agreement between experimental data and the independent production model is not a coincidence in which measurements have been carried out. We have discussed that, if the particles are highly correlated, one should look for C_1/C_2 and C_3/C_2 ratios as a function of collision energies, which will have larger deviations from the ratios obtained by uncorrelated baseline values. The cumulants and their ratios are calculated as a function of $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ using the HIJING event generator. In the HIJING model the cumulants calculated using both the methods agree very well. Hence, experimentally measured cumulants will follow the independent production model calculations if the correlation coefficient is less than $\sim$ 20%. However, C_4/C_2 and C_3/C_1 values will follow the IP model for all the correlation coefficient values. The observation that the experimental data can be explained by the independent production of particles does not rule-out the existence of the critical endpoint.

[1] M. A. Stephanov, K. Rajagopal and E. V. Shuryak, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4816 (1998).
[2] M. A. Stephanov, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **153**, 139 (2004), Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **20**, 4387 (2005).
[3] Z. Fodor and S. D. Katz, JHEP **0404**, 050 (2004).
[4] M. A. Stephanov, K. Rajagopal and E. V. Shuryak, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 114028 (1999).
[5] S. Ejiri, F. Karsch and K. Redlich, Phys. Lett. B **633**, 275 (2006).
[6] A. Bazavov, H. T. Ding, P. Hegde, O. Kaczmarek, F. Karsch, E. Laermann, S. Mukherjee and P. Petreczky *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 192302 (2012).
[7] M. A. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 032301 (2009).
[8] R. V. Gavai and S. Gupta, Phys. Lett. B **696**, 459 (2011).
[9] M. Cheng, P. Hegde, C. Jung, F. Karsch, O. Kaczmarek, E. Laermann, R. D. Mawhinney and C. Miao *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 074505 (2009).
[10] S. Borsanyi, Z. Fodor, S. D. Katz, S. Krieg, C. Ratti and K. K. Szabo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 052301 (2014).
[11] P. Alba, W. Alberico, R. Bellwied, M. Bluhm, V. Mantovani Sarti, M. Nahrgang and C. Ratti, Phys. Lett. B **738**, 305 (2014).
[12] A. Adare *et al.* [PHENIX Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C **93**, 011901 (2016).
[13] L. Adamczyk *et al.* [STAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 092301 (2014).
[14] L. Adamczyk *et al.* [STAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 032302 (2014).
[15] P. Braun-Munzinger, B. Friman, F. Karsch, K. Redlich and V. Skokov, Nucl. Phys. A **880**, 48 (2012).
[16] N. L. Johnson, S. Kotz, and N. Balakrishnan. 1997. Discrete multivariate distributions. New York, NY.: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
[17] O. E. Barndorff-Nielsen, D. G. Pollard, and N. Shephard, Integer-valued Levy processes and low latency financial econometrics, Quant. Finance 12, 587 (2012).
[18] T. J. Tarnowsky and G. D. Westfall, Phys. Lett. B **724**, 51 (2013).
[19] G. D. Westfall, Phys. Rev. C **92**, 024902 (2015).
[20] P. K. Netrakanti, X. F. Luo, D. K. Mishra, B. Mohanty, A. Mohanty and N. Xu, Nucl. Phys. A **947**, 248 (2016).
[21] X. Luo, B. Mohanty and N. Xu, Nucl. Phys. A **931**, 808 (2014).
[22] F. Karsch and K. Redlich, Phys. Lett. B **695**, 136 (2011).
[23] P. Garg, D. K. Mishra, P. K. Netrakanti, B. Mohanty, A. K. Mohanty, B. K. Singh and N. Xu, Phys. Lett. B **726**, 691 (2013).
[24] Cumulants of proton and anti-proton distribution measured by STAR experiment.
[25] X. Luo, J. Phys. G **39**, 025008 (2012).
[26] G. J. Alner *et al.* [UA5 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **160**, 193 (1985).
[27] T. Abbott *et al.* [E-802 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C **52**, 2663 (1995).
[28] F. Becattini, Z. Phys. C **69** (1996) 485.
[29] A. Adare *et al.* [PHENIX Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C **78**, 044902 (2008).
[30] B. I. Abelev *et al.* [STAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C **81**, 024911 (2010).
[31] C. Alt *et al.* [NA49 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C **73**, 044910 (2006).
[32] B. I. Abelev *et al.* [STAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C **79**, 034909 (2009).
[33] X. N. Wang and M. Gyulassy, Phys. Rev. D **44**, 3501 (1991).